## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Martin Covarrubias-Chavez	Case Number:	11-6343M	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a p of the defendant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing wreponderance of the evidence the	ras held on July 13, 2011. Defendant was presen ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
	FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
If released herein, the defenda Enforcement, placing him/her bey or otherwise removed.	ant faces removal proceedings rond the jurisdiction of this Court	s by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs t and the defendant has previously been deported	
The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United States or	r in the District of Arizona.	
The defendant has no resources to assure his/her future appearan	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
The defendant has a prior criminal	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
The defendant lives/works in Mex	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
There is a record of the defendant	nt using numerous aliases.		
The defendant attempted to evad	le law enforcement contact by fl	leeing from law enforcement.	
The defendant is facing a maximum	um of y	vears imprisonment.	
at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as  1. There is a serious risk that the de	noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW stendant will flee.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
The defendant is committed to the custod a corrections facility separate, to the extent practical appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reason of the United States or on request of an attorney for defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose.	CTIONS REGARDING DETEN' y of the Attorney General or his, able, from persons awaiting or so able opportunity for private cons or the Government, the person in prose of an appearance in cont ALS AND THIRD PARTY REL	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a coun in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsidera Court.	ation to Pretrial Services at least	one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing be investigate the potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be consider fore the District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATED this 14th day of July, 20	11.		
I In	David K. Duncan hited States Magistrate Judg	e.	